

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,696	09/12/2003	David D. Brandt	03AB014C/ALBRP303USC	7375	
Susan M. Don	7590 03/13/200 ahue	EXAM	EXAMINER		
Rockwell Auto	omation, 704-P, IP Dep	BAUM, RONALD			
1201 South 2n Milwaukee, W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,		2439			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/661,696	BRANDT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
RONALD BAUM	2439	

	RONALD BAUM	2439	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 02 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on tapplication, applicant must timely file one of the following reapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appet for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods: 	he same day as filing a Notice of a eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b).	er than SIX MONTHS from the mailing). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of		36(a) and the appropriate	a extension fee
Learning of the many be considered of an order of a consideration of the consideration of extended and the consideration of the expiration date of the safe forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later if may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nsion and the corresponding amount ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliant of the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the notice of Appeal has been filed. 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	,	(-)	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, bu (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co		ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) Enow the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 26-29.		I be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) objected to: 24 and 25.			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-9.12-17.19-21.23.30-41 and 45-47. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (F 13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amending of claims 16, 26, 30, 39 and 41 (and the associated dependent claims by dependency) to address the issues involved with the objected to dependent claim limitation dealing with the automatic installation of security components upon suspected security vulnerabilities (i.e., claim 24) is still absent in the proposed amendments. The industry standard amended limitation per se does not patently distinguish the claims insofar as the broad interpretation of the 'industry standard' phrase could encompass anything commonly applicable to any/fall electricide/electronic devices/components (e.g., UL safety, actual components manufacturing criteria, etc.,). Therefore the said claims are still not patently distinct. The examiner declines to reopen prosecution. Thus, any such claims submitted formally after final rejection would not be entered.